

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

RAFAEL FIGUEROA, KAHLIL CABBLE,)	
TY' ANTHONY SCOTT, and RYAN PETTY <i>on</i>)	Case No. 2:20-CV-01484-LPL
<i>behalf of themselves and all others similarly</i>)	
<i>situated,</i>)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
)	
v.)	
)	
POINT PARK UNIVERSITY,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

FINAL JUDGMENT

WHEREAS, the Parties to the above-captioned putative class action (the “Action”) executed a Settlement Agreement dated as of June 30, 2023 (the “Settlement”);

WHEREAS, on July 25, 2023 the Court entered an Order Granting Preliminary Approval of the Settlement, Directing Notice to the Class, Setting a Hearing on Final Approval and Provisionally Certifying the Settlement Class (“Preliminary Approval Order”), which, *inter alia*: (i) preliminarily approved the Settlement; (ii) preliminarily determined that, for purposes of the Settlement only, the Action should proceed as a class action pursuant to Rules 23(a) and 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, on behalf of the Settlement Class comprising:

All students enrolled at PPU who paid tuition and/or the mandatory fees for a student to attend in-person class(es) during the Spring 2020 semester at the University but had their class(es) moved to online learning, with the exception of; (i) any person who withdrew from PPU on or before March 12, 2020; (ii) any person enrolled solely in a program for the Spring 2020 semester that was originally delivered as an online program without regard to any changes in modality resulting from the COVID-19 pandemic; (iii) any person who properly executes and files a timely opt-out request to be excluded from the Settlement Class; and (iv) the legal representatives, successors or assigns of any such excluded person.

(iii) preliminarily appointed Rafael Figueroa, Kahlil Cabble, Ty'Anthony Scott, and Ryan Petty as Settlement Class Representatives; (iv) preliminarily appointed Lynch Carpenter, LLP as Class Counsel; (v) approved the forms and manner of notice of the Settlement to Potential Settlement Class Members; (vi) directed that appropriate notice of the Settlement be given to the Potential Settlement Class; and (vii) set a hearing date to consider final approval of the Settlement;

WHEREAS, notice of the Settlement was provided to Potential Settlement Class Members in accordance with the Court's Preliminary Approval Order;

WHEREAS, on December 4, 2023 at 10:00 am at the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania, Joseph F. Weis, Jr. U.S. Courthouse, 700 Grant Street, Pittsburgh, PA 15219, this Court held a hearing to determine whether the Settlement was fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Settlement Class ("Fairness Hearing"); and

WHEREAS, based on the foregoing, having considered the papers filed and proceedings held in connection with the Settlement and all other files, records, and proceedings in the Action, and being otherwise fully advised,

THE COURT HEREBY FINDS AND CONCLUDES that:

A. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Action, and all matters relating to the Settlement, as well as personal jurisdiction over all the Parties and all the Settlement Class Members for purposes of the Settlement.

B. This Order incorporates the definitions in the Settlement and all terms used in the Order have the same meanings as set forth in the Settlement, unless otherwise defined herein.

C. The Short Form Notice and Long Form Notice ("the Notices") provided to the Potential Settlement Class in accordance with the Preliminary Approval Order constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances of this Action and constituted due and sufficient

notice of the proceedings and matters set forth therein, including of the Settlement, to all persons entitled to notice. The Notices fully satisfied the requirements of due process, Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and all other applicable laws and rules.

D. The notice provisions of the Class Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1715, have been satisfied.

E. For purposes of the Settlement only, the Action may proceed as a class action pursuant to Rules 23(a) and 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

F. Class Counsel and the Settlement Class Representatives have fairly and adequately represented the Settlement Class, both with respect to litigation of the Action and for purposes of negotiating, entering into, and implementing the Settlement. Class Counsel and the Settlement Class Representatives have satisfied the requirements of Rules 23(a)(4) and 23(g) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

G. Pursuant to Rule 23(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Court grants final approval of the Settlement, as: (i) it is in all respects fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Settlement Class; (ii) it was the product of informed, arm's-length negotiations among competent, able counsel, and the negotiations were facilitated by experienced professional mediators, Carole Katz and David White; (iii) it was based on a record that is sufficiently developed to have enabled the Settlement Class Representatives and PPU to adequately evaluate their positions; (iv) the relief provided to the Settlement Class is adequate, taking into account the costs, risks, and delay of continued litigation and the effectiveness of the plan of allocation as outlined in the Settlement; (v) the Settlement treats Settlement Class Members equitably relative to one another; and (vi) the Settlement was positively received by the Settlement Class.

H. There are no persons who have timely and validly requested exclusion from the Settlement Class.

I. The Settlement Class Representatives and the Settlement Class Members, and all and each of them, are hereby bound by the terms of the Settlement.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that:

1. The Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Settlement Class.

Accordingly, the Court authorizes and directs implementation of all terms and provisions of the Settlement.

2. All Parties to this Action, and all Settlement Class Members, are bound by the Settlement and this Final Judgment.

3. Final Judgment shall be, and hereby is, entered dismissing the Action with prejudice, and without taxation or costs in favor of or against any Party.

4. The Releasing Settlement Class Parties shall be deemed to have fully, finally, and forever compromised, settled, released, resolved, relinquished, waived, and discharged all Released Claims against the Released PPU Parties, and shall forever be barred and enjoined from prosecuting any or all of the Released Claims against any of the Released PPU Parties. The Released Claims include any unknown claims that reasonably could have arisen out of the same facts alleged in the Action that the Releasing Parties do not know or suspect to exist in their favor at the time of the release, which, if known by them, might have affected their decision to agree to the Settlement, their decision to release the Released Claims, or their decision not to object to the Settlement.

5. The manner of distribution of the Net Settlement Fund as described in the Settlement and in the Notices to Potential Settlement Class Members is hereby approved, subject

to modification by further order of this Court, which may, at the discretion of the Court, be entered without further notice to the Settlement Class. Any order or proceedings relating to the manner of distribution of the Net Settlement Fund, so long as they are not materially inconsistent with this Final Judgment, shall not operate to terminate or cancel the Settlement or affect the finality of this Final Judgment approving the Settlement.

6. The Court hereby decrees that neither the Settlement nor this Final Judgment nor the fact of the Settlement is an admission or concession by PPU of any fault, wrongdoing, or liability whatsoever. This Final Judgment is not a finding of the validity or invalidity of any of the claims asserted or defenses raised in the Action. Nothing relating to the Settlement shall be offered or received in evidence as an admission, concession, presumption, or inference against PPU or the Released PPU Parties in any proceeding, other than such proceedings as may be necessary to consummate or enforce the Settlement.

7. Without affecting the finality of this Final Judgment in any way, the Court retains and reserves jurisdiction over: (a) implementation of this Settlement and any distributions from the Settlement Fund; (b) the Action, until each and every act agreed to be performed by the Parties shall have been performed pursuant to the terms and conditions of the Settlement and any further orders of the Court; and (c) the Parties, for the purpose of enforcing and administering the Settlement.

8. There is no just reason to delay the entry of this Final Judgment as a final judgment in this Action. Accordingly, the Clerk of the Court is expressly directed to immediately enter this Final Judgment in this Action and to close the case.

9. In the event that this Final Judgment does not become Final in accordance with Paragraph 1(k) of the Settlement, then this Final Judgment shall be rendered null and void to the

extent provided by and in accordance with the Settlement, and this Order shall be vacated. In such event, all orders entered and releases delivered in connection with the Settlement shall be null and void, except those necessary to effect termination of the Settlement. In such event, the Action shall return to its status immediately prior to execution of the Settlement.

LET JUDGMENT BE ENTERED ACCORDINGLY.

Date: December 5, 2023

s/David Stewart Cercone
David Stewart Cercone
Senior United States District Judge